

11/8/01  
GMA 11/6/01

PATENT

Customer No. 22,852

Attorney Docket No. 6530.0020-02



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )  
Brett HAARALA, et al. ) Group Art Unit: 3763  
Serial No.: 09/690,473 ) Examiner: M. Hayes  
Filed: October 18, 2000 )  
For: GUIDEWIRE COMPATIBLE PORT )  
AND METHOD FOR INSERTING )  
SAME )

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT**

In a restriction requirement dated May 29, 2001, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between Group I: claims 10-12; Group II: claims 23-27, and 35-42; and Group III: claim 44.

In addition to this restriction requirement, the Examiner required an election between the following alleged species:

Species I: Figures 2-6;  
Species II: Figures 7-9;  
Species III: Figures 10 and 11;  
Species IV: Figures 12-14;  
Species V: Figures 15 and 16;  
Species VI: Figures 17-19;  
Species VII: Figures 20-22;  
Species IIX: Figures 23 and 24;  
Species IX: Figures 25-27;  
Species X: Figures 28 and 29; and  
Species XI: Figures 30 and 31.

RECEIVED  
JUL 5 - 2001  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Although Applicants respectfully disagree with the restriction requirement, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group I, claims 10-12.

In addition, Applicants provisionally elect Species II (Figs. 7-9). The following claims are readable on the elected species: 10-12.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 29, 2001

By: 

Anthony M. Gutowski  
Reg. No. 38,742

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000